

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/731,988	12/09/2003	Suresh K. Arya	4239-67517	9402	
36218 7	590 03/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP			HILL, M	HILL, MYRON G	
121 S.W. SAL	MON STREET				
SUITE #1600 PORTLAND, OR 97204-2988		ART UNIT ·	PAPER NUMBER		
		1648			
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/731,988	ARYA, SURESH K.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Myron G. Hill	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/1/05, and 11/21/05.						
_	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 7-9,11-15,17,21 and 43-50 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>47</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 7-9, 11-15, 17, 21, 43-46, and 48-50 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/22/05</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (P1O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II in the reply filed on 21 November 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions are have been searched from the independent claim and that the election should t least be a species election. This is not found persuasive because each sequence requires a separate search and applicant has not shown that all the sequences are species.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim 47 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claims 7-9, 11-15, 17, 21, 43-46, and 48-50 are under consideration.

Priority

The Office acknowledges the amendment that updates the first line of the specification to include the current status of the parent application.

Information Disclosure Statement

A signed and initialed copy of the IDS filed 22 June, 2005 is enclosed.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,988

Art Unit: 1648

Rejections Withdrawn

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 7-9, 11-15, 17, 21, and 43-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant has amended the claims and the rejection is withdrawn.

Claims 7, 8, 10, 14, 15, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicants arguments were persuasive and the rejection is withdrawn.

Claims 7, 8, 11, 14, 15, 17, 21, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for SD36 (deleted regions as recited in the first specific construct listed in claim 9), does not reasonably provide enablement for all deletions. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The declaration of has Kafri has been considered. The rejection is withdrawn.

Application/Control-Number: 10/731,988

Art Unit: 1648

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 7-15, 17, 21, and 43-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poeschla *et al.* (from IDS, JV 1998) and MacCann *et al.* (JV 1997, from IDS).

The rejection is withdrawn on the showing from the declaration of Arya that removes Poeschla et al. by showing prior invention.

New Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 7, 11, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Arya *et al.* (Human Gene Therapy 1998 Vol. 9, pages 1371-1380).

The invention is drawn to HIV-2 packaging vectors with deletions upstream and downstream of the major splice donor site and the splice donor site is still functional.

Arya et al. teach the same vector, see figure I.

Thus, Arya et al. anticipate the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,988

Art Unit: 1648

Claims 7, 8, 11-13, 15, 17, 21, 43, 48, and 50 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arya *et al.* as applied to claims 7, 11, and 15
above, and further in view Verma *et al.* (US Pat 6013516 from IDS).

The invention is drawn to HIV-2 packaging vectors with deletions upstream and downstream of the major splice donor site and the splice donor site is still functional as well as variations to make other viral vectors.

Arya et al. teach functional deletion of HIV-2 packaging signal by deletion upstream and downstream of the SD site.

Verma et al. teach a vector system (Figure I).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have known that the deletion of packaging signals were needed to construct viral vectors and would have known the potential benefits of using HIV-2 as a viral vector. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have known the various systems developed for HIV-1 and would have been able to use them for HIV-2 knowing what packaging sequences were needed.

Thus, it would have been *prima facie* obvious to use the HIV-2 packaging vector with mutations upstream and downstream of the SD site as taught by Arya et al. for use in vector systems with the expectation of success.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,988

Art Unit: 1648

Claims 7, 8, 11-13, 15, 17, 21, 43, 48, and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCann *et al.* and Verma *et al.* (US Pat 6013516)

The invention is drawn to HIV-2 packaging vectors with deletions upstream and downstream of the major splice donor site and the splice donor site is still functional as well as variations to make other viral vectors.

McCann *et al.* teach functional deletion of HIV-2 packaging signal by deletions upstream and downstream of the SD site (Figure I).

Verma et al. teach a vector system (Figure I).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have been motivated to combine the mutations of McCann et al (Figure I) to create a vector with deletions both upstream and downstream knowing that mutations upstream and down stream of the SD site were involved in packaging with the expectation of reducing packaging even further. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have known that the oblation of packaging signals were needed to construct viral vectors. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have known the various systems developed for HIV-1 and would have been able to use them for HIV-2 knowing what packaging sequences were needed.

Thus, it would have been *prima facie* obvious to make an HIV-2 packaging vector with mutations upstream and downstream of the SD site for use in vector systems with the expectation of success.

Art Unit: 1648

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Myron G. Hill whose telephone number is 571-272-0901. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5 pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Myron G. Hill Patent Examiner 3 February 2006

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMME TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600